

Message

From: Clark, Jacqueline [clark.jacqueline@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/26/2016 8:05:24 PM
To: Wilson, Jennifer [wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov]; Duchovnay, Andrew [Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov]; Davies, Lynne [Davies.Lynne@epa.gov]; Collins, Charlie [collins.charlie@epa.gov]; Reinhart, Roger [Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov]; Johnson, KarenD [Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Review of studies
Attachments: DuPont Site Specific Info.docx

Jacqueline Clark

Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 5 (C-14J)
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604
Direct: (312) 353-4191
Fax: (312) 385-5474
email: clark.jacqueline@epa.gov

This e-mail, including attachments, contains information that may be confidential, protected by the attorney/client or other privileges, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read this email, delete it, including attachments, and notify the sender that you have received this email in error. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this e-mail, including attachments, is prohibited and may be unlawful.

From: Wilson, Jennifer
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 3:01 PM
To: Duchovnay, Andrew <Duchovnay.Andrew@epa.gov>; Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Davies, Lynne <Davies.Lynne@epa.gov>; Collins, Charlie <collins.charlie@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>; Johnson, KarenD <Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Review of studies

I attached a summary Charlie and I completed. My articles are super brief and not properly cited. I was in a hurry. I will call into the number in five minutes.

From: Duchovnay, Andrew
Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 2:27 PM
To: Clark, Jacqueline <clark.jacqueline@epa.gov>; Davies, Lynne <Davies.Lynne@epa.gov>; Collins, Charlie <collins.charlie@epa.gov>; Wilson, Jennifer <wilson.jenniferA@epa.gov>
Subject: Review of studies

I reviewed the studies on my earlier list, to determine if they were based

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

What I observed is that some studies used modelling programs, based

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP), Attorney-Client

See the summary

below.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

This study didn't take more samples, they performed modelling **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)** Their conclusion below suggests **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP), Attorney-Client**

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP), Attorney-Client

Quote from report:

RESULTS:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)